

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/055,875	STERLING ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Roy M. Punnoose	2877

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Roy M. Punnoose. (3) _____.

(2)_. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2004 **Time:** 2:32 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

51-61, 83-88

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 51-61 and 83-88 were withdrawn, but the text of the withdrawn claims was not included in the amendment. The examiner's amendment was done to cancel claims 51-61 and 83-88, which were not in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.121 (c) 3. Atty. Mark Kurtz (Registration No. 43,711) agreed to the cancellation of the above listed claims..